	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability		
	10/629,718 Examiner	WATANABE ET AL. Art Unit
	Aaron W. Carter	2625
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperall claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 2/28/06.		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,3,6-8,10,13 and 14.		
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 	e been received.	
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	cuments have been received in this (national stage application from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	st be submitted.	
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	· ·	948) attached
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the C	iffice action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t		
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 		
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat	ė .
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date	08), 7. Examiner's Amendn	nent/Comment nt of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material PRIMARY EXAMINER U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	9. Dother	
	otice of Allowability	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 6

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 28, 2006 has been entered. Claims 2, 4, 5, 9, 11, 12, 15 and 16 have been cancelled.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1, 3, 6-8, 10, 13 and 14 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

3. As to claims 1 and 8, none of the prior art teach or fairly suggest the limitation of "when it is judged by said image condition judging function that said image belongs to the plurality of image conditions, said correction information creating function integrates the image correction information in the respective image conditions, corresponding to said probability, to create the image correction information", in combination with the other limitations of the claims. The prior art of Winkelman, already of record, discloses image processing including normalizing a feature quantity, extracting a mean and standard deviation of the normalized feature quantity, judging an

Application/Control Number: 10/629,718

Art Unit: 2625

image condition, creating image correction information and correcting the image, as discussed in the Final Rejection mailed out on October 31, 2005. However, Winkelman does not teach or fairly suggest the limitation as described above, found in claims 1 and 8.

Page 3

- 4. As to claims 6 and 13, none of the prior art teach or fairly suggest the limitation of "wherein said statistics extracting function multiplies the means value and the standard deviation of the image feature quantity in each area obtained by dividing the image into a plurality of areas, by a weighting value corresponding to a difference between the maximum value and the minimum value of the image feature quantity in each area, and sets the sum of said multiplied values as the mean value and the standard deviation of the image feature quantity", in combination with the other limitations of the claims. The prior art of Winkelman, already of record, discloses image processing including normalizing a feature quantity, extracting a mean and standard deviation of the normalized feature quantity, judging an image condition, creating image correction information and correcting the image, as discussed in the Final Rejection mailed out on October 31, 2005. However, Winkelman does not teach or fairly suggest the limitation as described above, found in claims 6 and 13.
- 5. As to claims 7 and 14, none of the prior art teach or fairly suggest the limitation of "a n input function inputting whether or not the corrected image is an intended image, an input result storing function storing a result input through said input function, and a probability display function displaying the probability that said corrected image is the intended image, based on the input result stored by said input result storing function", in combination with the other

limitations of the claims. The prior art of Winkelman, already of record, discloses image processing including normalizing a feature quantity, extracting a mean and standard deviation of the normalized feature quantity, judging an image condition, creating image correction information and correcting the image, as discussed in the Final Rejection mailed out on October 31, 2005. However, Winkelman does not teach or fairly suggest the limitation as described above, found in claims 7 and 14.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron W. Carter whose telephone number is (571) 272-7445. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 4:30 am (Mon. - Fri.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on (571) 272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

awc Awc PENMARY EXAMINER